Cascasco 6:06-04-70647-64EVIEJD obcournment 12021 Filled 1122/1112/2000 6 Page of 4 of 4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MARK PARNES (SBN 104775) CHRISTOPHER R. PARRY (SBN 209858) AILEEN OCON (SBN 240417) WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 Email: cparry@wsgr.com Attorneys for Plaintiff JANE DOE	KEVIN V. RYAN (SBN 118321) United States Attorney JOANN M. SWANSON (SBN 88143) Chief, Civil Division ILA C. DEISS (SBN 3052909) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Ave., 9th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7124 Facsimile: (415) 436-7169 Email: ila.deiss@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12	JANE DOE,) Case No. CV 06-04764 MEJ	
13	Plaintiff,)	
14	v.) AMENDED JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	STAY ACTION PENDING OUTCOME OF ADMINISTRATIVE	
16	Defendant.) APPEAL	
17		<i></i>	
18	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-12, the parties to this action, Plaintiff Jane Doe ("Ms. Doe") and		
19	Defendant United States of America ("United States"), through their respective counsel, hereby		
20	jointly request the Court to enter an order staying all claims in the present action for the		
21	following reasons and upon the following terms and conditions:		
22	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Doe filed a complaint against the United States on August 7, 2006		
23	alleging a claim under the Federal Tort Claims Act ("FTCA") based on events that happened on		
24	August 13, 2003, when the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) detained her at the U.S		
25	Mexico border in El Paso, Texas and expeditiously removed her to Mexico;		
26	WHEREAS, on August 14, 2003, Plaintiff Doe reentered the United States without		
27	inspection and later applied for asylum with the immigration court in San Francisco;		
28	JT. STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY ACTION PENDING ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL CASE NO. C06-04764 SBA	PALIB1_3009690_1.DOC	

1	WHEREAS, on September 19, 2006, the Honorable George W. Proctor (Immigration		
2	Judge) granted Ms. Doe's asylum application;		
3	WHEREAS, the U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement ("ICE") has filed an		
4	administrative appeal to Judge Proctor's decision, and the appeal is currently pending before the		
5	Board of Immigration Appeals ("BIA Appeal");		
6	WHEREAS, on October 3, 2006, the United States filed a Motion to Dismiss Ms. Does'		
7	FTCA claim and has noticed a January 18, 2006 hearing for its motion;		
8	WHEREAS, the outcome of the BIA Appeal may resolve some or all of the issues raised		
9	in Ms. Doe's FTCA claim against the United States;		
10	WHEREAS, to promote efficiency and conserve judicial resources, both Ms. Doe and th		
11	United States wish to stay all issues in the present action until the BIA Appeal has been resolved		
12	NOW, THEREFORE Ms. Doe and the United States, and their respective counsel of		
13	record hereby stipulate and request entry of the following order:		
14	1. The present action filed by Ms. Doe against the United States shall be stayed on		
15	all matters until the BIA Appeal has concluded. All deadlines, dates, and hearings—including		
16	the currently noticed dates for the hearing and briefing schedule on the United States' Motion to		
17			
18	2. The parties shall promptly inform the Court when the BIA Appeal has concluded.		
19	3. If the conclusion of the BIA Appeal resolves Ms. Doe's FTCA claims against the		
20	United States, the parties shall so inform the Court and file a motion for dismissal of this action		
21	in its entirety.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Cascase06:06-047064764EMEJDobournemen2021 Filled 1121/1112/20006Pageageo84of 4

1	4. If the conclusion of the BIA Appeal does not resolve Ms. Doe's FTCA claims		
2	against the United States, the United States may re-notice a hearing on its Motion to Dismiss,		
3	subject to the Court's availability.		
4			
5	Dated: December 11, 2006	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	
6		Professional Corporation	
7			
8		By: /s/ Christopher Parry	
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10		Jane Doe	
11	Dated: December 11, 2006		
12		D /-/	
13		By:/s/ Ila C. Deiss	
14		Attorneys for Defendant United States of America	
15		United States of America	
16			
17	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
18		a DISTA	
19	DATED: December 12, 2006	The Hondrich Marie Flans 1996	
20		The Honorable Maria-Elena James U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
21		Z IT IS SO ORD	
22			
23		Judge Maria-Elena James	
24			
25		Judge Maria-Elena James DISTRICT OF CENTRAL DISTRICT	
26		OISTRIC!	
27			
28			

JT. STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY ACTION PENDING ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL CASE NO. C06-04764 SBA

$Ca\$e3e06:06-04706447644EMEJD000urmentr2021 \ \ \, \text{Filled} \ 1122/1112/20006 Page 4e0440f 4$

1	Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures.		
2	I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained		
3	from Ila C. Deiss.		
4			
5	Dated: December 11, 2006	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	
6		Professional Corporation	
7			
8		By: /s/ Christopher Parry	
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10		Jane Doe	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		PALIEL 2000600 1 DOC	

JT. STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY ACTION PENDING ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL CASE NO. C06-04764 SBA